COMMISSION ON

## BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

In Re the Matter of	)
HONORABLE RALPH G. TURCO	) No. 92-1259-F -30
Judge of the Tacoma Municipal Court	)
County-City Building, Room 135	) STATEMENT OF CHARGES
930 Tacoma Avenue S.	)
Tacoma, WA 98402-2181	)
	, )

This Statement of Charges alleging violations by HON. RALPH G. TURCO of rules of judicial conduct is filed pursuant to authority granted in Revised Code of Washington, Chapter 2.64 (Commission on Judicial Conduct) and the Washington Administrative Code, Chapter 292, and at the order of the Commission on Judicial Conduct. The background and facts of the charges are set forth in the following paragraphs:

## **BACKGROUND**

- (1) HON. RALPH G. TURCO (Respondent herein) is now and, at all times hereinafter mentioned, was a Judge of the City of Tacoma Municipal Court in Tacoma, Washington.
- (2) On April 10, 1992, Respondent was sent a letter from the Commission on Judicial Conduct informing Respondent a Verified Statement was filed in accordance with WAC 292-12-010(4) and the Commission was pursuing initial proceedings.

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 1

(3) Enclosed with the above-referenced communication was a Statement of Allegations.

## **FACTS SUPPORTING CHARGES**

On March 9, 1992, the HON. RALPH G. TURCO presided over Cause No. 927094, City of Tacoma v. Anthony J. Maggerise. The defendant was present in court to contest the charges. The police officer who signed the infraction was not in court. After an initial discussion regarding this traffic infraction, Respondent asked the defendant to produce a coin, toss it in the air and call it. Respondent then indicated the defendant lost the call and imposed a fine instead of adjudicating the matter according to the Justice Court Traffic Infraction Rules. Following the court's decision, flippant public comments regarding the coin toss were made to members of the press by Respondent and widely publicized. A certified letter from the Commission on Judicial Conduct to Respondent requesting a response in initial proceedings was received by Respondent. Respondent failed to present any relevant information to the Commission as requested under WAC 292-12-020(3).

#### BASIS FOR COMMISSION ACTION

The Commission has determined that probable cause exists for believing that Respondent has violated Canons 1, 2(A), 3(A)(1), 3(A)(2), 3(A)(3) and 3(A)(4) of the Code of Judicial Conduct (CJC) which state:

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 2





9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

#### CANON 1

## Judges Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

An independent and honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice in our society. Judges should participate in establishing, maintaining, and enforcing, and should themselves observe high standards of conduct so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary may be preserved. The provisions of this code should be construed and applied to further that objective.

#### CANON 2

# Judges Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Their Activities

(A) Judges should respect and comply with the law and should conduct themselves at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

### CANON 3

# Judges Should Perform the Duties of Their Office Impartially and Diligently

- (A) Adjudicative Responsibilities.
- (1) Judges should be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. Judges should be unswayed by partisan interests, public clamor, or fear of criticism.
- (2) Judges should maintain order and decorum in proceedings before them.
- (3) Judges should be patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers and others with whom judges deal in their official capacity, and should require similar conduct of lawyers, and of the staff, court officials, and others subject to their direction and control.
- (4) Judges should accord to every person who is legally interested in a proceeding, or that person's lawyer, full right to be heard according to law, and except as authorized by law, neither initiate nor

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 3



consider ex parte or other communications concerning a pending or impending proceeding. Judges, however, may obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on the law applicable to a proceeding before them, by amicus curiae only, if they afford the parties reasonable opportunity to respond.

### NOTIFICATION OF RIGHT TO FILE WRITTEN ANSWER

In accordance with WAC 292-12-030(5), the Respondent is herewith informed that a written answer may be filed with the Commission to the charges contained in the Statement of Charges within twenty-one (21) days after the date of service. If Respondent does not file a written answer, a general denial will be entered on behalf of Respondent. The Statement of Charges and Answer shall be the only pleadings required.

DATED this 28th day of May, 1992.

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

By: David Akana
Executive Director

P.O. Box 1817 Olympia, WA 98507

STATEMENT OF CHARGES - 4

